

SOUTHERN WILDFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT SUMMARY REPORT



Test Project Area 2



Report was generated using
www.southernwildfirerisk.com

Report version: 5.0

Report generated: 9/30/2024

Table of Contents

Hold Control and Click to navigate to the section of your interest.

[Disclaimer](#)

[Introduction](#)

[Wildfire Hazard](#)

[Burn Probability](#)

[Wildfire Exposure Score](#)

[Damage Potential](#)

[Risk to Homes and Communities](#)

[Housing Unit Density](#)

[Housing Unit Impact](#)

[Housing Unit Risk](#)

[Sources of Ember Load to Buildings](#)

[Functional Wildland Urban Interface \(WUI\)](#)

[Flame Front Characteristics](#)

[Characteristic Fire Intensity Scale](#)

[95th Percentile Fire Intensity Scale](#)

[Characteristic Flame Length](#)

[95th Percentile Flame Length](#)

[Characteristic Rate of Spread](#)

[95th Percentile Rate of Spread](#)

[Probability of Crown Fire](#)

[Challenges to Fire Operations](#)

[Probability of Exceeding Manual Control](#)

[Probability of Exceeding Mechanical Control](#)

[Probability of Extreme Fire Behavior](#)

[Suppression Difficulty Index](#)

[Wildfire Hazard Potential](#)

[Ember Characteristics](#)

[Conditional Ember Production Index](#)

[Conditional Ember Load Index](#)

[Landscape Characteristics](#)

[Surface Fuels](#)

[Percent Slope](#)

[References](#)

Disclaimer

Southern Group of State Foresters makes no warranties or guarantees, either expressed or implied as to the completeness, accuracy, or correctness of the data portrayed in this product nor accepts any liability, arising from any incorrect, incomplete or misleading information contained therein. All information, data and databases are provided "As Is" with no warranty, expressed or implied, including but not limited to, fitness for a particular purpose.

Users should also note that property boundaries included in any product do not represent an on-the-ground survey suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. They represent only the approximate relative locations.

Introduction

Welcome to the Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment Summary Report.

This tool allows users of the Professional Viewer application of the Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment (SWRA) web Portal (SouthWRAP) to define a specific project area and summarize wildfire related information for this area. A detailed risk summary report is generated using a set of predefined map products developed by the Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment project which have been summarized explicitly for the user defined project area. The report is generated in MS WORD format.

The report has been designed so that information from the report can easily be copied and pasted into other specific plans, reports, or documents depending on user needs. Examples include, but are not limited to, Community Wildfire Protection Plans, Local Fire Plans, Fuels Mitigation Plans, Hazard Mitigation Plans, Homeowner Association Risk Assessments, and Forest Management or Stewardship Plans. Formats and standards for these types of reports vary from state to state across the South, and accordingly SouthWRAP provides the SWRA information in a generic risk report format to facilitate use in any type of external document. The SouthWRAP Risk Summary Report also stands alone as a viable depiction of current wildfire risk conditions for the user defined project area.

SouthWRAP provides a consistent, comparable set of scientific results to be used as a foundation for wildfire mitigation and prevention planning in the South.

Results of the assessment can be used to help prioritize areas in the state where mitigation treatments, community interaction and education, or tactical analyses might be necessary to reduce risk from wildfires.



The SouthWRAP products included in this report are designed to provide the information needed to support the following key priorities:

- Identify areas that are most prone to wildfire
- Identify areas that may require additional tactical planning, specifically related to mitigation projects and Community Wildfire Protection Planning
- Provide the information necessary to justify resource, budget and funding requests
- Allow agencies to work together to better define priorities and improve emergency response, particularly across jurisdictional boundaries
- Define wildland communities and identify the risk to those communities
- Increase communication and outreach with local residents and the public to create awareness and address community priorities and needs
- Plan for response and suppression resource needs
- Plan and prioritize hazardous fuel treatment programs

To learn more about the SWRA project or to create a custom summary report, go to www.southernwildfirerisk.com.

Map Products and Descriptions

Each map product in this Summary Report is accompanied by a general description, table, chart, or map. Please see the table below for a list of data layers available in the Summary Report.

| Layer | Description |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Burn Probability | Burn Probability is the likelihood of wildfire burning a specific location within one calendar year or wildfire season. |
| Wildfire Exposure Score | Wildfire Exposure Score combines wildfire likelihood (Burn Probability) and damage to homes (Damage Potential) for all areas regardless of whether a structure currently exists at that location. |
| Damage Potential | Damage Potential represents the possible damage from wildfire to a home or parcel considering both fire intensity and embers from nearby fuel. |
| Housing Unit Density | This layer displays housing unit density measured in housing units per square kilometer. |
| Housing Unit Impact | Housing Unit Impact represents the relative potential impact to housing units if a fire were to occur. |
| Housing Unit Risk | Housing Unit Risk represents the relative potential risk to housing units. |
| Sources of Ember Load to Buildings | This layer displays the potential for fuel to be a source of embers to buildings. |
| Functional Wildland Urban Interface | This dataset classifies the land near buildings into wildfire risk mitigation zones. |
| Characteristic Fire Intensity Scale | Quantifies the potential fire intensity by orders of magnitude as determined by fuel and a range of possible wind and weather conditions. |
| 95th Percentile Fire Intensity Scale | 95th Percentile (Average-Worst) Fire Intensity Scale quantifies fire intensity by orders of magnitude as determined by the worst five percent of wind and weather conditions. |
| Characteristic Flame Length | Flame length measures the height of flames as determined by fuel and a range of possible wind and weather conditions. |
| 95th Percentile Flame Length | 95th Percentile (Average-Worst) Flame Length measures the height of flames as determined by the worst five percent of wind and weather conditions. |
| Characteristic Rate of Spread | This layer represents the rate of spread (ROS) as determined by fuel and weather characteristics across a full range of possible wind and weather conditions. |
| 95th Percentile Rate of Spread | 95th Percentile (Average-Worst) Rate of Spread measures the rate of spread as determined by the worst five percent of wind and weather conditions. |
| Probability of Crown Fire | This layer shows the likelihood of experiencing at least mid-grade passive crown fire. |

| Layer | Description |
|---|--|
| Probability of Exceeding Manual Control | This layer shows the likelihood that flames at the head of the fire will exceed 4 feet, which is generally considered the limit for manual fire control. |
| Probability of Exceeding Mechanical Control | This layer shows the likelihood that flames at the head of the fire will exceed 8 feet, which is considered the limit for mechanical fire control in fire operations. |
| Probability of Extreme Fire Behavior | This layer shows the likelihood that flames at the head of the fire will exceed 11 feet, which is considered threshold for extreme fire behavior in fire operations. |
| Suppression Difficulty Index | Suppression Difficulty Index provides a rating of relative difficulty in performing wildfire control work considering factors like terrain, access, fuel, and fire behavior. |
| Wildfire Hazard Potential | Wildfire Hazard Potential maps challenges to wildfire control and includes information such as Burn Probability, small-fire ignition density, fire intensity measures, and fuel/vegetation type. |
| Conditional Ember Production Index | A relative index of the potential ember production if a fire were to occur. |
| Conditional Ember Load Index | A relative index of the potential for a location to receive embers from surrounding land if a fire were to occur. |
| Surface Fuels | Contains the parameters needed to compute surface fire behavior characteristics. |
| Percent Slope | Percent Slope measures the rate of change of elevation over a given horizontal distance, expressed as a percent. |

Wildfire Hazard

The information in this section of the report describes the annual likelihood of wildfire based on fire modeling, and two integrated hazard layers characterizing wildfire risk to homes, including a measure of ember load from nearby fuel.

Contents:

[Burn Probability](#)

[Wildfire Exposure Score](#)

[Damage Potential](#)

Burn Probability


Burn probability is the likelihood of wildfire burning a specific location within a set time frame - commonly represented as the chance of burning during one calendar year or wildfire season.


Burn Probability can be expressed as a fraction (ex. 0.005) or odds (1-in-200) and is based on fire behavior modeling across thousands of simulations of possible fire seasons. In each simulation, factors contributing to the probability of a fire occurring, including weather and ignition likelihood are varied based on patterns derived from observations in recent decades. It is not predictive and does not reflect any currently forecasted weather or fire danger conditions. Burn Probability does not say anything about the intensity of fire if it occurs.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Burn Probability Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | >0 - 0.0001000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.0001000 - 0.0002154 | 98 | 42.8 % |
| | 0.0002154 - 0.0004642 | 131 | 57.2 % |
| | 0.0004642 - 0.0010000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.0010000 - 0.0021544 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.0021544 - 0.0046416 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.0046416 - 0.0100000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.0100000 - 0.0215443 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.0215443 - 0.0464159 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.0464159 - 0.1000000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | >0.1000000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Burn Probability





Wildfire Exposure Score


Wildfire Exposure Score combines two important wildfire factors related to structure exposure: the chance of wildfire (Burn Probability – defined as the likelihood of wildfire burning a specific location within a calendar year or wildfire season) and the potential damage to homes from wildfire (Damage Potential – defined as an estimate of damage that a wildfire could cause to homes considering both fire intensity and embers from nearby fuel).


Exposure scores are provided for all areas regardless of whether a structure currently exists at that location.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Wildfire Exposure Score Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 1/10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 2/10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 3/10 | 187 | 81.7 % |
| | 4/10 | 42 | 18.3 % |
| | 5/10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 6/10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 7/10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 8/10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 9/10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 10/10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
Wildfire Exposure Score






Test Project Area 2

Structure Exposure Score

- 1/10
- 2/10
- 3/10
- 4/10
- 5/10
- 6/10
- 7/10
- 8/10
- 9/10
- 10/10



Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment
<https://southernwildfirerisk.com/>

Damage Potential

Damage Potential provides an index of potential damage to homes from wildfire. It considers factors like flame length and embers lofted from nearby fuel.


Damage Potential is a relative index (from low to high), that provides a broad measure of the possible damage from wildfire, based generally on the landscape, rather than specific characteristics of a home or parcel. For planning uses and broad applications, the index is calculated for all areas regardless of whether a structure currently exists at that location. This index does not incorporate a measure of wildfire likelihood.


Damage Potential is a fire-effects measure and includes flame-length estimates that reflect all spread directions (heading, backing, and flanking). Intensities from nonheading spread directions are considerably lower than those at the head of the fire.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Damage Potential Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | Little to None | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Very Low | 221 | 96.5 % |
| | Low | 8 | 3.5 % |
| | Moderate | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | High | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Very High | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Damage Potential






Test Project Area 2

Damage Potential

- Little to None
- Very Low
- Low
- Moderate
- High
- Very High



Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment
<https://southernwildfirerisk.com/>

Risk to Homes and Communities

The information in this section provides useful information for communities to help prepare for and prevent wildfires.

Contents:

[Housing Unit Density](#)

[Housing Unit Impact](#)

[Housing Unit Risk](#)

[Sources of Ember Load to Buildings](#)

[Functional Wildland Urban Interface \(WUI\)](#)


Housing Unit Density


This layer displays housing unit density measured in housing units per square kilometer and reflects 2020 estimates of housing unit counts from the U.S. Census Bureau, combined with building footprint data from Onegeo and USA Structures - both reflecting 2022 conditions.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Housing Unit Density Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|-------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | Below Density Rating | 206 | 90.0 % |
| | Very Low | 5 | 2.2 % |
| | Low | 7 | 3.1 % |
| | Medium | 11 | 4.8 % |
| | Medium High | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | High | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Very High | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Housing Unit Density






Housing Unit Impact


This dataset represents the relative potential impact to housing units if a fire were to occur. Housing Unit Impact (HUImpact) incorporates housing-unit counts with the general consequences of fire on a home as a function of fire intensity. HUImpact does not include fire likelihood and does not reflect individual structure mitigations that would influence susceptibility.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Housing Unit Impact Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 176 | 77.2 % |
| | 1,000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 10,000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 100,000 | 15 | 6.6 % |
| | 1,000,000 | 37 | 16.2 % |
| | 10,000,000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | > 10,000,000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 228 | 100.0 % |

**Test Project Area 2
Housing Unit Impact**





Housing Unit Risk


Housing Unit Risk (HURisk) represents the potential risk to housing units and incorporates both the general consequences of fire on a home as a function of fire intensity, and Burn Probability as a measure of wildfire likelihood. HURisk does not reflect individual structure mitigations that would influence susceptibility.


Housing Unit Risk integrates all four primary elements of wildfire risk - likelihood, intensity, susceptibility, and exposure - on pixels where housing unit density is greater than zero.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Housing Unit Risk Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|----------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 176 | 77.2 % |
| | 1 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 2 - 10 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 11 - 100 | 42 | 18.4 % |
| | 101 - 1,000 | 10 | 4.4 % |
| | 1,001 - 10,000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | > 10,000 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 228 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Housing Unit Risk





Sources of Ember Load to Buildings

Sources of Ember Load to Buildings (SELB) is a relative index of the potential for fuel to produce embers that land where buildings are located, given that a fire occurs.


SELB identifies burnable land cover that produces embers capable of reaching nearby buildings. Units are an index of the relative number of embers rather than a count of embers produced. Ember production is a function of fire type and intensity; ember travel is a function of wind speed and direction. Ember modeling is based on fire modeling from WildEST, a process used to perform and combine multiple fire behavior simulations under a range of weather types (wind speed, wind direction, fuel moisture content). WildEST results reflect how often weather conditions occur and capture the influence of high-spread conditions. SELB is based on heading-only fire behavior and does not include the likelihood of wildfire.


The Sources of Ember Load to Buildings layer is useful for prioritizing mitigation actions to reduce the potential for ember damage to buildings.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Sources of Ember Load to Buildings Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---|------------|----------------|
| | Minimal Direct Wildfire Impacts | 175 | 76.4 % |
| | 1 - Lowest | 54 | 23.6 % |
| | 2 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 3 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 4 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 6 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 7 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 8 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 9 - Highest | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Sources of Ember Load to Buildings





Functional Wildland Urban Interface (WUI)

Functional WUI represents a classification of the land near buildings* into zones that describe the wildfire risk mitigation activities appropriate for each zone.

1: Direct Exposure--Burnable land cover within 75 m of a building. Buildings in this zone are exposed to ignition from convective and radiative heat from a wildfire, embers, and adjacent burning structures/outbuildings.

2: Indirect Exposure--Nonburnable land cover within 75 m of a building and less than 1530 m from a 500-ha contiguous block of wildland fuel. Buildings in this zone are exposed to ignition from embers and/or adjacent burning structures

3: Little-to-no Exposure--Nonburnable land cover within 75 m of a building and more than 1530 m from a 500-ha contiguous block of wildland fuel. Buildings in this zone are relatively safe from ember ignition and building-to-building spread.

4: Critical Fireshed--the burnable land cover from which a wildfire can reach a significant number of buildings within a single burning period.


8: Sources of Ember Load to Buildings--Burnable land cover more than 75 m from a building that produces embers capable of reaching nearby buildings. Ember production is a function of fire type and intensity; ember travel is a function of wind speed and direction. Ember modeling is based on fire modeling based on gridded historical climatology.


*Buildings used in producing Functional WUI are defined as greater than 40 m²

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Functional Wildland Urban Interface (WUI) Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|--|------------|----------------|
| | Direct Exposure | 16 | 7.0 % |
| | Indirect Exposure | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Critical Fireshed | 170 | 73.9 % |
| | Sources of Ember Load to Buildings | 44 | 19.1 % |
| | Little to No Exposure | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Water | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 230 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
Functional Wildland Urban Interface (WUI)





Flame Front Characteristics

The information in this section of the report describes fire behavior characteristics at the flaming front of the fire.

Contents:

- [Characteristic Fire Intensity Scale](#)
- [95th Percentile Fire Intensity Scale](#)
- [Characteristic Flame Length](#)
- [95th Percentile Flame Length](#)
- [Characteristic Rate of Spread](#)
- [95th Percentile Rate of Spread](#)
- [Probability of Crown Fire](#)

Fire Behavior Overview

Description

Fire behavior is the manner in which a fire reacts to the following environmental influences:

1. Fuels
2. Weather
3. Topography



Fire behavior characteristics are attributes of wildland fire that pertain to its spread, intensity, and growth. Fire behavior characteristics utilized in the Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment (SWRA) include fire type, rate of spread, flame length and fire intensity scale. These metrics are used to determine the potential fire behavior under different weather scenarios. Areas that exhibit moderate to high fire behavior potential can be identified for mitigation treatments, especially if these areas are in close proximity to homes, business, or other assets.

Fuels

The SWRA includes composition and characteristics for both surface fuels and canopy fuels. Significant increases in fire behavior will be captured if the fire has the potential to transition from a surface fire to a canopy fire.

Fuel datasets required to compute both surface and canopy fire potential include:

- **Surface Fuels**, generally referred to as fire behavior fuel models, provide the input parameters needed to compute surface fire behavior.
- **Canopy Cover** is the horizontal percentage of the ground surface that is covered by tree crowns. It is used to compute wind reduction factors and shading.
- **Canopy Ceiling Height/Stand Height** is the height above the ground of the highest canopy layer where the density of the crown mass within the layer is high enough to support vertical movement of a fire. A good estimate of canopy ceiling height would be the average height of the dominant and co-dominant trees in a stand. It is used for computing wind reduction to midflame height and spotting distances from torching trees (Fire Program Solutions, L.L.C, 2005).
- **Canopy Base Height** is the lowest height above the ground above which there is sufficient canopy fuel to propagate fire vertically (Scott & Reinhardt, 2001). Canopy base height is a property of a plot, stand, or group of trees, not of an individual tree. For fire modeling, canopy base height is an effective value that incorporates ladder fuel, such as tall shrubs and small trees. Canopy base height is used to determine if a surface fire will transition to a canopy fire.
- **Canopy Bulk Density** is the mass of available canopy fuel per unit canopy volume (Scott & Reinhardt, 2001). Canopy bulk density is a bulk property of a stand, plot, or group of trees, not of an individual tree. Canopy bulk density is used to predict whether an active crown fire is possible.

Weather

Environmental weather parameters needed to compute fire behavior characteristics include 1-hour, 10-hour, and 100-hour timelag fuel moistures, herbaceous fuel moisture, woody fuel moisture, and the 20-foot wind speed.

Weather variables were acquired from gridded weather data to generate 216 weather scenarios comprised of 9 wind speeds, 8 wind directions, and 3 moisture scenarios. Rather than employing multiple percentile weather categories (as previously used in the SWRA fire behavior calculations), the fire behavior modeling in the SWRA update is calculated with the Wildfire Exposure Simulation Tool (WildEST).

WildEST is a cloud-based system that uses a custom implementation of the FlamMap fire modeling system (Finney 2006) to produce simulations under a range of weather types (wind speed, wind direction, fuel moisture content). The 216 FlamMap runs are combined into a single output by weighting each scenario according to weather type probabilities that reflect how often each weather scenario occurs in the record, its co-occurrence with historical fire ignitions, and the influence of high-spread conditions (such as the disproportionate impact of hot, dry, and windy conditions on fire growth).

Two sets of results are provided for each of the Flame Front Characteristic layers. Results using all 216 weather scenarios are labeled “Characteristic” while “95th Percentile” or average-worst Flame Front Characteristics demonstrate the impact of the top five percent of weather types. These results represent an average of the worst 5% of weather types, weighted according to the frequency of the weather type and the influence of high-spread conditions.

Topography

Topography datasets required to compute fire behavior characteristics are elevation, slope and aspect.

Characteristic Fire Intensity Scale

Characteristic Fire Intensity Scale (FIS) specifically identifies where significant fuel hazards and associated dangerous fire behavior potential exist based on fuel and weighted across a full range of wind and weather conditions calculated using WildEST. Rather than weighting results solely by how frequently the weather conditions occur, the WildEST process factors the greater influence of high-spread conditions into the weighting calculations. These estimates include the contribution of crown fuel and crowning fire intensity.

Similar to the Richter scale for earthquakes, FIS provides a standard scale to measure potential wildfire intensity. FIS consist of 5 classes where the order of magnitude between classes is ten-fold. The minimum class, Class 1, represents very low wildfire intensities and the maximum class, Class 5, represents very high wildfire intensities. Refer to descriptions below.

1. Class 1, Very Low:

Very small, discontinuous flames, usually less than 1 foot in length; very low rate of spread; no spotting. Fires are typically easy to suppress by firefighters with basic training and non-specialized equipment.

2. Class 2, Low:

Small flames, usually less than two feet long; small amount of very short range spotting possible. Fires are easy to suppress by trained firefighters with protective equipment and specialized tools.

3. Class 3, Moderate:

Flames up to 9 feet in length; short-range spotting is possible. Trained firefighters will find these fires difficult to suppress without support from aircraft or engines, but dozer and plows are generally effective. Increasing potential for harm or damage to life and property.

4. Class 4, High:

Large Flames, up to 40 feet in length; short-range spotting common; medium range spotting possible. Direct attack by trained firefighters, engines, and dozers is generally ineffective, indirect attack may be effective. Significant potential for harm or damage to life and property.

5. Class 5, Very High:


Flames exceeding 200 feet in length; expect extreme fire behavior.


To aid in viewing on the map, FIS is presented in 1/2 class increments. Please consult the SouthWRAP User Manual for a more detailed description of the FIS class descriptions.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Characteristic Fire Intensity Scale Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|--|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | 1 | 4 | 1.7 % |
| | 1.5 | 52 | 22.7 % |
| | 2 | 98 | 42.8 % |
| | 2.5 | 66 | 28.8 % |
| | 3 | 2 | 0.9 % |
| | 3.5 | 4 | 1.7 % |
| | 4 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 4.5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | > 5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
Characteristic Fire Intensity Scale





95th Percentile Fire Intensity Scale

This layer represents the "average-worst" 95th Percentile Fire Intensity Scale at the flaming front of the fire. Here, fireline intensity is represented as the standard Fire Intensity Scale (Log10 of fireline intensity) as determined by fuel and weather characteristics. These results are weighted according to the Weather Type Probabilities (WTPs) from the highest five percent of possible wind and weather conditions and include the contribution of crown fuel and crowning fire intensity, if applicable. Fireline intensity is calculated using WildEST. Rather than weighting results solely by how frequently the weather conditions occur, the WildEST process factors the greater influence of high-spread conditions into the weighting calculations.

Similar to the Richter scale for earthquakes, FIS provides a standard scale to measure potential wildfire intensity. FIS consists of 5 classes where the order of magnitude between classes is ten-fold. The minimum class, Class 1, represents very low wildfire intensities and the maximum class, Class 5, represents very high wildfire intensities. Refer to descriptions below.

1. Class 1, Very Low:

Very small, discontinuous flames, usually less than 1 foot in length; very low rate of spread; no spotting. Fires are typically easy to suppress by firefighters with basic training and non-specialized equipment.

2. Class 2, Low:

Small flames, usually less than two feet long; small amount of very short range spotting possible. Fires are easy to suppress by trained firefighters with protective equipment and specialized tools.

3. Class 3, Moderate:

Flames up to 9 feet in length; short-range spotting is possible. Trained firefighters will find these fires difficult to suppress without support from aircraft or engines, but dozer and plows are generally effective. Increasing potential for harm or damage to life and property.

4. Class 4, High:

Large flames, up to 40 feet in length; short-range spotting common; medium range spotting possible. Direct attack by trained firefighters, engines, and dozers is generally ineffective, indirect attack may be effective. Significant potential for harm or damage to life and property.

5. Class 5, Very High:


Flames exceeding 200 feet in length; expect extreme fire behavior.


To aid in viewing on the map, FIS is presented in 1/2 class increments. Please consult the SouthWRAP User Manual for a more detailed description of the FIS class descriptions.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | 95th Percentile Fire Intensity Scale Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | 1 | 4 | 1.8 % |
| | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 2 | 71 | 31.1 % |
| | 2.5 | 136 | 59.6 % |
| | 3 | 8 | 3.5 % |
| | 3.5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 4 | 2 | 0.9 % |
| | 4.5 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | 5 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | > 5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 228 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
95th Percentile Fire Intensity Scale





Characteristic Flame Length


This layer represents the flame length (in feet) as determined by fuel and weather characteristics. These results are weighted across a full range of possible wind and weather conditions and include the contribution of crown fire flame lengths, if applicable. Flame length is calculated using WildEST, a process used to perform and combine multiple fire behavior simulations under a range of weather types (wind speed, wind direction, fuel moisture content). Rather than weighting results solely by how frequently the weather conditions occur, the WildEST process factors the greater influence of high-spread conditions into the weighting calculations.


Uses for this flame length dataset include comparison of expected flame-lengths across the landscape for identifying wildfire hazards to the public and exploring hazard mitigation opportunities for communities and land management agencies.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Characteristic Flame Length Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|--------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | < 1 | 10 | 4.3 % |
| | 1 - 2 | 159 | 69.1 % |
| | 2 - 4 | 52 | 22.6 % |
| | 4 - 10 | 5 | 2.2 % |
| | 10 - 21 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 21 - 46 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 46 - 100 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | > 100 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 230 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Characteristic Flame Length





95th Percentile Flame Length


This layer represents the "average-worst" 95th Percentile Flame Length (in feet) at the flaming front of the fire as determined by fuel and weather characteristics. These results are weighted according to the Weather Type Probabilities (WTPs) from the highest five percent of possible wind and weather conditions and include the contribution of crown fire flame lengths, if applicable.


Flame length is calculated using WildEST, a process used to perform and combine multiple fire behavior simulations under a range of weather types (wind speed, wind direction, fuel moisture content). Rather than weighting results solely by how frequently the weather conditions occur, the WildEST process factors the greater influence of high-spread conditions into the weighting calculation.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | 95th Percentile Flame Length Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | < 1 | 4 | 1.8 % |
| | 1 - 2 | 71 | 31.1 % |
| | 2 - 4 | 143 | 62.7 % |
| | 4 - 10 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 10 - 21 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 21 - 46 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 46 - 100 | 4 | 1.8 % |
| | > 100 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 228 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
95th Percentile Flame Length






Characteristic Rate of Spread


This layer represents the rate of spread as determined by fuel and weather characteristics. These results are weighted across a full range of possible wind and weather conditions and include the contribution of crown fire spread rate, if applicable. Note: Burnable cornfields in the fall harvest season have been excluded from this dataset. Rate of Spread is calculated using WildEST, a process used to perform and combine multiple fire behavior simulations under a range of weather types (wind speed, wind direction, fuel moisture content). Rather than weighting results solely by how frequently the weather conditions occur, the WildEST process factors the greater influence of high-spread conditions into the weighting calculations. Note: Burnable cornfields in the fall harvest season have been excluded from this dataset.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Characteristic Rate of Spread Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|--|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | > 0 - 5 | 220 | 96.1 % |
| | 5 - 10 | 6 | 2.6 % |
| | 10 - 20 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 20 - 30 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 30 - 50 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 50 - 100 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | > 100 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Characteristic Rate of Spread





95th Percentile Rate of Spread


This layer represents the "average-worst" 95th Percentile Rate of Spread (ch/h) at the flaming front of the fire as determined by fuel and weather characteristics. These results are weighted according to the Weather Type Probabilities (WTPs) from the highest five percent of possible wind and weather conditions and include the contribution of crown fire spread rate, if applicable.


Rate of Spread is calculated using WildEST, a process used to perform and combine multiple fire behavior simulations under a range of weather types (wind speed, wind direction, fuel moisture content). Rather than weighting results solely by how frequently the weather conditions occur, the WildEST process factors the greater influence of high-spread conditions into the weighting calculations.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | 95th Percentile Rate of Spread Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | > 0 - 5 | 217 | 95.2 % |
| | 5 - 10 | 2 | 0.9 % |
| | 10 - 20 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 20 - 30 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 30 - 50 | 2 | 0.9 % |
| | 50 - 100 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | > 100 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 228 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
95th Percentile Rate of Spread





Probability of Crown Fire

This layer shows the likelihood of the head of the fire experiencing crown fire (at least mid-grade passive crown fire). The head of the fire exhibits the most extreme fire behavior, demonstrating the highest intensity and fastest spread rates.


Crown (or canopy) fires are very dangerous, destructive, and difficult to control due to their increased fire intensity. From a planning perspective, it is important to identify where these conditions are likely to occur on the landscape so that special preparedness measures can be taken if necessary.


Higher probability values indicate a high likelihood of crown fire. Probability results reflect fuel characteristics and the flame lengths produced under a range of weather conditions. These probabilities do not include the likelihood of a wildfire occurring, rather, they provide information about the likelihood of a location experiencing crown fire, if a wildfire were to occur.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Probability of Crown Fire Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 223 | 97.0 % |
| | > 0 - 0.25 | 7 | 3.0 % |
| | 0.25 - 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.50 - 0.75 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.75 - 1 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 230 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Probability of Crown Fire





Challenges to Fire Operations

The information in this section of the report describes fire behavior information useful in operational fire planning and for identifying fuel treatment opportunities.

Contents:

[Probability of Exceeding Manual Control](#)

[Probability of Exceeding Mechanical Control](#)

[Probability of Extreme Fire Behavior](#)

[Suppression Difficulty Index](#)

[Wildfire Hazard Potential](#)

Probability of Exceeding Manual Control

This layer shows the likelihood that flames at the head of the fire will exceed 4 feet, which is generally considered the limit for manual fire control. The head of the fire exhibits the most extreme fire behavior, demonstrating the highest intensity and fastest spread rates.


Higher probability values indicate a lower chance of success using manual control measures (i.e. hand crews and hand line). Probability results reflect fuel characteristics and the flame lengths produced under a range of weather conditions. These probabilities do not include the likelihood of a wildfire occurring, rather, they provide information about flame lengths if a wildfire were to occur.


Flame length exceedance probabilities refer to the likelihood of flames reaching or surpassing a certain height, typically measured from the leading edge or "head" of a fire. These probabilities provide insight into the range of potential flame lengths under various weather conditions. For example, if the probability of exceeding a certain flame length threshold is 0.2 (20%), it means there is a 20% chance that flames exceed that height under the range of modeled weather scenarios. It also means that 80% of flame lengths are expected to be below the threshold. These probabilities help fire management personnel anticipate and plan for the potential intensity of wildfires in a specific area.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Probability of Exceeding Manual Control Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|--|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 213 | 93.0 % |
| | > 0 - 0.2 | 13 | 5.7 % |
| | 0.2 - 0.4 | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | 0.4 - 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.6 - 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.8 - 1 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
Probability of Exceeding Manual Control





Probability of Exceeding Mechanical Control

This layer shows the likelihood that flames at the head of the fire will exceed 8 feet, which is considered the limit for mechanical fire control in fire operations. The head of the fire exhibits the most extreme fire behavior, demonstrating the highest intensity and fastest spread rates.


Higher probability values indicate a lower chance of success using mechanical control measures such as dozers and engines. Probability results reflect fuel characteristics and the flame lengths produced under a range of weather conditions. These probabilities do not include the likelihood of a wildfire occurring, rather, they provide information about flame lengths if a wildfire were to occur.


Flame length exceedance probabilities refer to the likelihood of flames reaching or surpassing a certain height, typically measured from the leading edge or "head" of a fire. These probabilities provide insight into the range of potential flame lengths under various weather conditions. For example, if the probability of exceeding a certain flame length threshold is 0.2 (20%), it means there is a 20% chance that flames exceed that height under the range of modeled weather scenarios. It also means that 80% of flame lengths are expected to be below the threshold. These probabilities help fire management personnel anticipate and plan for the potential intensity of wildfires in a specific area.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Probability of Exceeding Mechanical Control Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|--|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 222 | 96.9 % |
| | > 0 - 0.2 | 6 | 2.6 % |
| | 0.2 - 0.4 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 0.4 - 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.6 - 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.8 - 1 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
Probability of Exceeding Mechanical Control





Probability of Extreme Fire Behavior

This layer shows the likelihood that flames at the head of the fire will exceed 11 feet, which is considered threshold for extreme fire behavior in fire operations. The head of the fire exhibits the most extreme fire behavior, demonstrating the highest intensity and fastest spread rates. Flames of this height can indicate extreme fire behavior and present significant challenges for suppression efforts.


Higher probability values indicate a high likelihood of extreme fire behavior such as crowning and spotting. Probability results reflect fuel characteristics and the flame lengths produced under a range of weather conditions. These probabilities do not include the likelihood of a wildfire occurring, rather, they provide information about flame lengths if a wildfire were to occur.


Flame length exceedance probabilities refer to the likelihood of flames reaching or surpassing a certain height, typically measured from the leading edge or "head" of a fire. These probabilities provide insight into the range of potential flame lengths under various weather conditions. For example, if the probability of exceeding a certain flame length threshold is 0.2 (20%), it means there is a 20% chance that flames exceed that height under the range of modeled weather scenarios. It also means that 80% of flame lengths are expected to be below the threshold. These probabilities help fire management personnel anticipate and plan for the potential intensity of wildfires in a specific area.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Probability of Extreme Fire Behavior Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---|------------|----------------|
| | 0 | 223 | 97.4 % |
| | > 0 - 0.2 | 6 | 2.6 % |
| | 0.2 - 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.4 - 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.6 - 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 0.8 - 1 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Probability of Extreme Fire Behavior






Suppression Difficulty Index


Suppression Difficulty Index can inform fire management decisions related to suppression strategies and resource placement. It classifies fire suppression challenges into six levels, ranging from very low to extreme. Blue areas indicate relatively manageable conditions with some combination of gentle terrain, less resistant fuels, easier access, and milder fire behavior. Red areas highlight tougher conditions with steep terrain, limited access, and more-intense fire activity. This index does not consider aerial suppression strategies, overhead hazards to firefighters like standing dead trees, and does not include the likelihood of a wildfire occurring.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Suppression Difficulty Index Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | Little to No Difficulty | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | Very Low Difficulty | 8 | 3.5 % |
| | Low Difficulty | 27 | 11.8 % |
| | Moderate Difficulty | 136 | 59.4 % |
| | High Difficulty | 57 | 24.9 % |
| | Very High Difficulty | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Extreme Difficulty | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Suppression Difficulty Index






Test Project Area 2

Suppression Difficulty Index

- Little to No Difficulty
- Very Low Difficulty
- Low Difficulty
- Moderate Difficulty
- High Difficulty
- Very High Difficulty
- Extreme Difficulty



Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment
<https://southernwildfirerisk.com/>


Wildfire Hazard Potential


Wildfire Hazard Potential is mapped with eight classes, ranging from low (blue) to high (red) hazard levels. The highest classes indicate areas with fuels more prone to experiencing extreme fire behavior during severe fire-weather conditions. Although Wildfire Hazard Potential is useful for long-term planning purposes, it does not incorporate current or forecasted weather conditions and should not be relied upon as a seasonal outlook.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Wildfire Hazard Potential Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | Minimal Direct Wildfire Impacts | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | 1 - Lowest | 71 | 31.0 % |
| | 2 | 4 | 1.7 % |
| | 3 | 144 | 62.9 % |
| | 4 | 7 | 3.1 % |
| | 5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 6 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 7 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 8 - Highest | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Wildfire Hazard Potential






Test Project Area 2

Wildfire Hazard Potential

- Minimal Direct Wildfire Impacts
- 1 - Lowest
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8 - Highest



Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment
<https://southernwildfirerisk.com/>

Ember Characteristics

The information in this section of the report identifies the locations most likely to produce embers and the areas most likely to receive embers, given a wildfire occurs. Ember modeling is based on fuel characteristics, climate, and topography.

Contents:

[Conditional Ember Production Index](#)

[Conditional Ember Load Index](#)

Conditional Ember Production Index

Conditional Ember Production Index (cEPI) provides a relative index of embers produced at a location, given that a fire occurs.

Ember production is based on surface and canopy fuel characteristics, climate, and topography within the pixel. Units are an index of the relative number of embers rather than a count of embers produced. Conditional EPI is based on heading-only fire behavior and does not include the likelihood of wildfire.


Embers can be produced from any burnable fuel source in the fuelscape, dependent on the wind and weather characteristics that lead to lofting embers.


Conditional EPI is useful for prioritizing fuel treatments to reduce the potential for ember production in volatile fuel types.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Conditional Ember Production Index Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---|------------|----------------|
| | Minimal Direct Wildfire Impacts | 3 | 1.3 % |
| | 1 - Lowest | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 2 | 218 | 95.2 % |
| | 3 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 4 | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 5 | 4 | 1.7 % |
| | 6 | 2 | 0.9 % |
| | 7 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 8 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 9 - Highest | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Conditional Ember Production Index





Conditional Ember Load Index

Conditional Ember Load Index (cELI) provides a relative index of embers received at a location, given that a fire occurs.


Ember load is based on surface and canopy fuel characteristics, climate, and topography within the pixel. Ember load incorporates downwind ember travel. Units are an index of the relative number of embers rather than a count of embers produced. Conditional ELI is based on heading-only fire behavior and does not include the likelihood of wildfire. Embers can be received by any pixel in the fuelscape; including both burnable and nonburnable fuel types.


Conditional ELI can be used to prioritize building hardening activities to resist ember ignition.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Conditional Ember Load Index Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | Minimal Direct Wildfire Impacts | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 1 - Lowest | 1 | 0.4 % |
| | 2 | 146 | 63.8 % |
| | 3 | 82 | 35.8 % |
| | 4 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 5 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 6 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 7 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 8 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | 9 - Highest | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
Conditional Ember Load Index






Test Project Area 2

Conditional Ember Load Index

- Minimal Direct Wildfire Impacts
- 1 - Lowest
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9 - Highest



Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment
<https://southernwildfirerisk.com/>

Landscape Characteristics

The information in this section of the report describes the type of fuel characterized by the surface fuel model map and the percent slope, which is useful for characterizing conditions important for operating equipment.

Contents:

[Surface Fuels](#)

[Percent Slope](#)

Surface Fuels

Surface Fuels, or fire behavior fuel models as they are technically referred to, contain the parameters needed by the Rothermel (1972) surface fire spread model to compute surface fire behavior characteristics, such as rate of spread, flame length, fireline intensity, and other fire behavior metrics. Surface fuels include grass, timber litter, shrub/brush, slash and other dead or live vegetation within about 6 feet of the ground and are shown here at 30-meter resolution.

Surface fuels are typically categorized into one of four primary fuel types based on the primary carrier of the surface fire: 1) grass, 2) shrub/brush, 3) timber litter and 4) slash. There are two standard fire behavior fuel model sets published for use. The Fire Behavior Prediction System 1982 Fuel Model Set (Anderson, 1982) contains 13 fuel models and the Fire Behavior Prediction System 2005 Fuel Model Set (Scott & Burgan, 2005) contains 40 fuel models.

The SWRA Surface Fuels reflect fuel disturbances through 2022 and are based initially on LANDFIRE 2020, calibrated with input from fuel calibration workshops.

A detailed fuels calibration process was undertaken that involved collaboration with Southern state fuels and fire behavior specialists supported by federal partner involvement. Workshops were held to review the LANDFIRE fuels product and calibrate the data by modifying specific vegetation and fuels classes to better reflect local knowledge and input


Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)


| Surface Fuel | FBPS Fuel Model Set | Description | Acres | Percent | |
|--|---------------------|-------------|---|---------|-------|
| Non-burnable Fuel Type Models (insufficient wildland fuel to carry a wildland fire under any condition) | | | | | |
| | NB1 | 2005 | Urban or suburban development; insufficient wildland fuel to carry wildland fire. Includes roads. | 2 | 0.9 % |
| | NB3 | 2005 | Agricultural field, maintained in nonburnable condition. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | NB8 | 2005 | Open water | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | NB9 | 2005 | Bare ground | 0 | 0.0 % |
| Grass Fuels Type Models (nearly pure grass and/or forb type) | | | | | |
| | GR1 | 2005 | Grass is short, patchy, and possibly heavily grazed. Spread rate moderate; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GR2 | 2005 | Moderately coarse continuous grass, average depth about 1 foot. Spread rate high; flame length moderate. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GR3 | 2005 | Very coarse grass, average depth about 2 feet. Spread rate high; flame length moderate. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GR4 | 2005 | Moderately coarse continuous grass, average depth about 2 feet. Spread rate very high; flame length high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GR5 | 2005 | Dense, coarse grass, average depth about 1 to 2 feet. Spread rate very high; flame length high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GR6 | 2005 | Dryland grass about 1 to 2 feet tall. Spread rate very high; flame length very high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GR8 | 2005 | Heavy, coarse, continuous grass 3 to 5 feet tall. Spread rate very high; flame length very high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| Grass-Shrub Fuels Type Models (mixture of grass and shrub, up to 50 percent shrub coverage) | | | | | |
| | GS1 | 2005 | Shrubs are about 1 foot high, low grass load. Spread rate moderate; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GS2 | 2005 | Shrubs are 1 to 3 feet high, moderate grass load. Spread rate high; flame length moderate. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GS3 | 2005 | Moderate grass/shrub load, average grass/shrub depth less than 2 feet. Spread rate high; flame length moderate. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | GS4 | 2005 | Heavy grass/shrub load, depth greater than 2 feet. Spread rate high; flame length very high. | 0 | 0.0 % |

| Surface Fuel | FBPS Fuel Model Set | Description | Acres | Percent | |
|---|---------------------|-------------|---|---------|-------|
| Shrub Fuel Type Models (Shrubs cover at least 50 percent of the site, grass sparse to nonexistent) | | | | | |
| | SH1 | 2005 | Low shrub fuel load, fuelbed depth about 1 foot; some grass may be present. Spread rate very low; flame length very low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | SH2 | 2005 | Moderate fuel load (higher than SH01), depth about 1 foot, no grass fuel present. Spread rate low; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | SH3 | 2005 | Moderate shrub load, possibly with pine overstory or herbaceous fuel, fuel bed depth 2 to 3 feet. Spread rate low; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | SH4 | 2005 | Low to moderate shrub and litter load, possibly with pine overstory, fuel bed depth about 3 feet. Spread rate high; flame length moderate. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | SH5 | 2005 | Heavy shrub load, depth 4 to 6 feet. Spread rate very high; flame length very high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | SH6 | 2005 | Dense shrubs, little or no herb fuel, depth about 2 feet. Spread rate high; flame length high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | SH7 | 2005 | Very heavy shrub load, depth 4 to 6 feet. Spread rate lower than SH05, but flame length similar. Spread rate high; flame length very high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | SH8 | 2005 | Dense shrubs, little or no herb fuel, depth about 3 feet. Spread rates high; flame length high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | SH9 | 2005 | Dense, finely branched shrubs with significant fine dead fuel, about 4 to 6 feet tall; some herbaceous fuel may be present. Spread rate high, flame length very high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| 1982 Fire Behavior Prediction System – ONLY USED FOR FLORIDA ASSESSMENT | | | | | |
| | FM4 | 1982 | Chaparral | 0 | 0.0 % |
| Timber-Understory Fuel Type Models (Grass or shrubs mixed with litter from forest canopy) | | | | | |
| | TU1 | 2005 | Fuelbed is low load of grass and/or shrub with litter. Spread rate low; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | TU2 | 2005 | Fuelbed is moderate litter load with shrub component. Spread rate moderate; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | TU3 | 2005 | Fuelbed is moderate litter load with grass and shrub components. Spread rate high; flame length moderate. | 5 | 2.2 % |
| Timber Litter Fuel Type Models (dead and down woody fuel litter beneath a forest canopy) | | | | | |
| | TL1 | 2005 | Light to moderate load, fuels 1 to 2 inches deep. Spread rate very low; flame length very low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | TL2 | 2005 | Low load, compact. Spread rate very low; flame length very low. | 4 | 1.8 % |

| Surface Fuel | FBPS Fuel Model Set | Description | Acres | Percent |
|---|---------------------|---|------------|----------------|
| TL3 | 2005 | Moderate load conifer litter. Spread rate very low; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| TL4 | 2005 | Moderate load, includes small diameter downed logs. Spread rate low; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| TL5 | 2005 | High load conifer litter; light slash or mortality fuel. Spread rate low; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| TL6 | 2005 | Moderate load, less compact. Spread rate moderate; flame length low. | 71 | 31.3 % |
| TL7 | 2005 | Heavy load forest litter, includes larger diameter downed logs. Spread rate low; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| TL8 | 2005 | Moderate load and compactness may include small amount of herbaceous load. Spread rate moderate; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| TL9 | 2005 | Very high load broadleaf litter; heavy needle-drape in otherwise sparse shrub layer. Spread rate moderate; flame length moderate. | 145 | 63.9 % |
| Slash-Blowdown Fuel Type Models (activity fuel/slash or debris from wind damage) | | | | |
| SB1 | 2005 | Low load activity fuel. Spread rate moderate; flame length low. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| SB2 | 2005 | Moderate load activity or low load blowdown. Spread rate moderate; flame length moderate. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| SB3 | 2005 | High load activity fuel or moderate load blowdown. Spread rate high; flame length high. | 0 | 0.0 % |
| Total | | | 227 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2
Surface Fuels






Test Project Area 2

Surface Fuels

| | |
|-------|-------|
| ■ NB1 | ■ SH6 |
| ■ NB3 | ■ SH7 |
| ■ NB8 | ■ SH8 |
| ■ NB9 | ■ SH9 |
| ■ GR1 | ■ FM4 |
| ■ GR2 | ■ TU1 |
| ■ GR3 | ■ TU2 |
| ■ GR4 | ■ TU3 |
| ■ GR5 | ■ TL1 |
| ■ GR6 | ■ TL2 |
| ■ GR8 | ■ TL3 |
| ■ GS1 | ■ TL4 |
| ■ GS2 | ■ TL5 |
| ■ GS3 | ■ TL6 |
| ■ GS4 | ■ TL7 |
| ■ SH1 | ■ TL8 |
| ■ SH2 | ■ TL9 |
| ■ SH3 | ■ SB1 |
| ■ SH4 | ■ SB2 |
| ■ SH5 | ■ SB3 |



Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment
<https://southernwildfirerisk.com/>


Percent Slope


Percent Slope measures the rate of change of elevation over a given horizontal distance (rise over run), expressed as a percent. Percent slope is used to characterize the local conditions for operating equipment. Slope identifies the inclination at a single location based on the adjacent elevation values. Steep local conditions can severely restrict the movement of equipment and resources for suppression and intensify fire behavior.

Data Source: Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment, Pyrologix 2023 (includes fuel disturbances through 2022)

| | Percent Slope Category | Acres | Percent |
|--|------------------------|------------|----------------|
| | 0 - 5 | 7 | 3.1 % |
| | 5 - 15 | 67 | 29.3 % |
| | 15 - 25 | 70 | 30.6 % |
| | 25 - 40 | 78 | 34.1 % |
| | 40 - 55 | 7 | 3.1 % |
| | 55 - 75 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | > 75 | 0 | 0.0 % |
| | Total | 229 | 100.0 % |

Test Project Area 2 Percent Slope






Test Project Area 2

Percent Slope

- 0 - 5
- 5 - 15
- 15 - 25
- 25 - 40
- 40 - 55
- 55 - 75
- > 75



Southern Wildfire Risk Assessment
<https://southernwildfirerisk.com/>

References

Anderson, H. E. (1982). Aids to determining fuel models for estimating fire behavior. USDA For. Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep. INT-122.

Finney, M. A. 2006. In: Fuels management—how to measure success: conference proceedings. 2006 March 28-30; Portland, Oregon. Proceedings RMRS-P-41. Fort Collins, CO: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station: 213-220. (647 KB; 13 pages). <https://www.fs.usda.gov/research/treesearch/39312>

Fire Program Analysis (FPA) System and US Forest Service Missoula Fire Sciences Laboratory, 20140307, Burn Probabilities for the Conterminous US (270-m GRID) from Calibrated FSim Runs for the 2014 FPA Submissions [bp_20140307]; Fire Program Analysis (FPA) System, National Interagency Fire Center, Boise, ID.

Scott, J. H., & Burgan, R. E. (2005). Standard Fire Behavior Fuel Models: A Comprehensive Set for Use with Rothermel's Surface Fire Spread Model. Ft. Collins, CO, Rocky Mountain Research Station: USDA Forest Service, Gen. Tech. Rpt. RMRS-GTR-153.

Scott, J. H., & Reinhardt, E. D. (2001). Assessing the Crown Fire Potential by Linking Models of Surface and Crown Fire Behavior. Ft. Collins, CO, Rocky Mountain Research Station: USDA Forest Service, Research Paper RMRS-RP-29.

Scott, Joe. November 2006. Off the Richter: Magnitude and Intensity Scales for Wildland Fire. A non-published white paper prepared for the AFE Fire Congress, November 2006, San Diego, CA.

More information about the Fire Program Analysis project is available from <https://www.forestsandrangelands.gov/WFIT/applications/FPA/index.shtml>



SOUTHERN GROUP OF STATE FORESTERS
WILDFIRE RISK ASSESSMENT PORTAL